## LEVELS OF TRUST IN FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS: A COMPARISON FEBRUARY 16-20, 2023 MARCH 30-APRIL 3, 2023

## Background

In March 2023, global banking was in crisis as a series of bank collapses and failures hit the United States and Switzerland and was consistently reported on by mainstream news outlets around the world. We wanted to understand the possible impact the widespread coverage of these bank collapses and failures had on the general U.S. population's trust in financial institutions. Therefore, we fielded questions measuring levels of trust in financial institutions twice-the first conducted February 16-20 (before the collapses and failures in March 2023) and the second conducted March $30-A p r i l ~ 3$ (following weeks of news coverage on the issue).

## Key Findings <br> I am confident that existing policies and regulations protect customers of financial services institutions.

Overall, there is no change between U.S. adults' confidence that existing policies and regulations protect customers of financial services institutions before and after the banking crises in March 2023. Four in ten (42\%) U.S. adults agreed with the statement. Around one-third ( $33 \%$ before; $32 \%$ after) disagreed with the statement, and one-quarter (25\%) "neither agreed nor disagreed."

- Adults ages 60 and over (60+) are the most likely age group to have agreed with the statement both before and after the banking crises. However, the percentage of 60+ adults who agreed decreased after the banking crises (before: $54 \%$; after: $47 \%$ ). Adults ages 18-29 years old were the only age group that increased in percentage of agreement with the statement, from 31\% to 43\% (30-44: 36\% to 36\%; 45-59: 41\% to 40\%).
- Adults with a bachelor's degree or higher ( $46 \%$; no change) are more likely to have agreed with the statement than adults with other educational attainment levels (no high school degree, high school degree and associate degree), and this percentage remained unchanged before and after the banking crises. In contrast, the percentage of adults with no high school diploma who agreed with the statement decreased most drastically from $38 \%$ to $27 \%$, and the percentage of adults with a high school diploma who agreed increased from $36 \%$ to $44 \%$.


## PUBLIC OPINION POLLS

- Adults with a household income of $<\$ 30 \mathrm{~K}$ showed the highest change in response to the statement out of all income groups (agreed: $30 \%$ to $43 \%$; disagreed: $38 \%$ to $25 \%$ ).
- Hispanic adults and non-Hispanic adults who identify as other or two or more races showed a considerable change before and after the banking crises. Hispanic adults who agreed with the statement decreased from $37 \%$ to $35 \%$; $33 \%$ who "neither agreed nor disagreed" decreased to $22 \%$, and $29 \%$ who disagreed increased to $38 \%$. Adults who identify with two or more races and agreed with the statement decreased from $61 \%$ to $52 \%$, those who "neither agreed nor disagreed" decreased from $14 \%$ to $13 \%$, and those who disagreed with the statement increased from $25 \%$ to $35 \%$.


## I have faith and confidence in the financial system.

Overall, fewer U.S. adults agreed with the statement after the banking crises, falling from $45 \%$ to $39 \%$. Adults who "neither agreed nor disagreed" increased from $20 \%$ to $22 \%$ and those who disagreed increased from $35 \%$ to $38 \%$.

- Adults ages 30-44 years old and 60+ increased most considerably in the percentage of those who disagreed with the statement (18-29: $33 \%$ to $33 \% ; 30-44$ : $42 \%$ to $48 \% ; 45-59: 36 \%$ to $34 \%$ : 60+: $30 \%$ to $35 \%$ ).
- The percentage of male adults who agreed with the statement fell drastically from $51 \%$ to 39\%. The percentage of those who "neither agreed nor disagreed" increased from 18\% to $24 \%$ and those who disagreed increased from $31 \%$ to $37 \%$. In contrast, the opinions of female adults changed marginally after the banking crises (agreed: 39\% to 39\%; "neither agreed nor disagreed": $22 \%$ to $20 \%$; disagreed: $39 \%$ to $39 \%$ ).
- Across all levels of educational attainment, the percentage of adults who agree with the statement fell. This is especially true for adults with no high school diploma, where the percentage fell from 54\% to 25\% (high school diploma: 37\% to 36\%; associate degree: $41 \%$ to $34 \%$; bachelor's degree or higher: $53 \%$ to $49 \%$ ).
- Black adults and Hispanic adults showed a large fall in percentage of who agreed with the statement (Black: $43 \%$ to $31 \%$; Hispanic: $48 \%$ to $35 \%$ ) and increased considerably in percentage who disagreed with the statement (Black: $37 \%$ to $42 \%$ : Hispanic: $30 \%$ to $39 \%$ ).
- Adults with a household income of $\$ 60 \mathrm{~K}-<\$ 100 \mathrm{~K}$ show the largest change in responses after the banking crises, compared to the other household income categories. The percentage of these adults who agreed with the statement fell from $46 \%$ to $42 \%$, those who "neither agreed nor disagreed" decreased from $23 \%$ to $15 \%$, and those who disagreed increased from $31 \%$ to $43 \%$.


## PUBLIC OPINION POLLS

## I generally trust financial institutions to act honestly and ethically.

Before the banking crises, half ( $50 \%$ ) of U.S. adults generally trusted financial institutions to act honestly and ethically. This fell to $46 \%$ after the banking crises. In addition, there was an increase in those who chose "neither agree nor disagree" from $18 \%$ to $22 \%$ while the percentage of adults who disagree with the statement fell from $32 \%$ to $31 \%$.

- Adults ages 45-59 years old show the largest fall in percentage of those who agreed with the statement out of all age groups. In contrast, adults ages 18-29 years old are the only age group that increased in percentage of those agreeing with the statement (18-29: 36\% to $44 \%, 30-44$ : $39 \%$ to $32 \% ; 45-59$ : $54 \%$ to $42 \%$; 60+: $65 \%$ to $61 \%$ ).
- Adults ages 18-29 years old have the largest decrease in percentage of those who disagreed with the statement-compared to all other age groups-going from 37\% to 29\% (30-44: 42\% to $38 \%$; $45-59$ : $27 \%$ to $34 \%$; $60+: 23 \%$ to $23 \%$ ).
- Among adults with different levels of educational attainment, adults with a high school diploma were the only group who showed an increase ( $43 \%$ to $48 \%$ ) in agreement with the statement. Adults from all other educational attainment groups showed a decrease in agreement with the statement (no high school: $45 \%$ to $29 \%$; associate degree: $51 \%$ to $40 \%$; bachelor's degree and above: $56 \%$ to $53 \%$ ).
- Black adults ( $45 \%$ to $31 \%$ ), Hispanic adults ( $50 \%$ to $36 \%$ ) and adults who identify as another race or two or more races ( $62 \%$ to $56 \%$ ) all showed a decrease in whether they agreed with the statement. In contrast, their White counterparts showed a slight increase ( $49 \%$ to $50 \%$ ).


## I trust all financial institutions to ensure that their employees are well trained and professional.

Before the banking crises, four out of ten U.S. adults trusted financial institutions to ensure its employees are well-trained and professional. This percentage fell after the banking crises to $38 \%$. The percentage of U.S. adults who "neither agreed nor disagreed" increased from $22 \%$ to $24 \%$, while those who disagreed decreased from $36 \%$ to $35 \%$.

- Adults ages 45-59 years old showed the largest fall in percentage of those who agreed with the statement ( $44 \%$ to $35 \%$ ), compared to other age groups. Adults from this age group increased in percentage who "neither agreed nor disagreed" ( $25 \%$ to $30 \%$ ) and disagreed $(31 \%$ to $35 \%)$ with the statement.
- The responses of female adults remain largely unchanged, but male adults showed a considerable change in their responses. The percentage of male adults who agreed with the statement decreased from $42 \%$ to $35 \%$. In contrast, male adults who "neither agreed nor


## PUBLIC OPINION POLLS

disagreed" increased from $23 \%$ to $27 \%$ and those who disagreed increased from $35 \%$ to $37 \%$.

- The percentage of adults who agreed with the statement decreased across all educational attainment groups, except for adults with a high school diploma or equivalent (no high school: $32 \%$ to $22 \%$; high school diploma: $36 \%$ to $38 \%$; associate degree: $42 \%$ to $39 \%$; bachelor's degree or above: $48 \%$ to $42 \%$ ). The only group that increased in the percentage who disagreed with the statement are those with a bachelor's degree or above ( $33 \%$ to 37\%).
- Black adults, Hispanic adults, and adults with two or more races all showed a large decrease in percentage agreeing with the statement, while their White counterparts showed an increase in percentage. (Black: $43 \%$ to $32 \%$; Hispanic: $43 \%$ to $33 \%$; Other/2+races: $60 \%$ to 46\%; White: $38 \%$ to $40 \%$ ).
- There were mixed changes in agreement to the statement across adults of different household income levels. Adults with a household income of $\$ 30 \mathrm{~K}-<\$ 60 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\$ 100 \mathrm{~K}+$ decreased in agreeing to the statement, while adults with a household income of $<\$ 30 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\$ 60 \mathrm{~K}-<\$ 100 \mathrm{~K}$ increased in agreeing to the statement ( $<\$ 30 \mathrm{~K}: 32 \%$ to $37 \%$; $\$ 30 \mathrm{~K}-<\$ 60 \mathrm{~K}$ : $40 \%$ to $30 \%$; $\$ 60 \mathrm{~K}-<\$ 100 \mathrm{~K}: 40 \%$ to $44 \%$; $\$ 100 \mathrm{~K}+: 50 \%$ to $42 \%$ ).


## Full Methodology

Both surveys were conducted using the AmeriSpeak Omnibus Panel on behalf of NEFE. The first survey was conducted from February 16-20, 2023 with a nationally representative sample that included 1,001 adults ages 18 and older. The second survey was conducted from March 30 to April 3 with a nationally representative sample that included 1,002 adults ages 18 and older. Funded and operated by the National Opinion Research Center (NORC) at the University of Chicago, AmeriSpeak® is a probability-based panel designed to be representative of the U.S. household population. Randomly selected U.S. households are sampled using area probability and addressbased sampling, with a known, non-zero probability of selection from the NORC National Sample Frame. These sampled households are then contacted by U.S. mail, telephone, and field interviewers (face to face). The panel provides sample coverage of approximately $97 \%$ of the U.S. household population. Those excluded from the sample include people with P.O. Box only addresses, some addresses not listed in the U.S. Postal Service Delivery Sequence File, and some newly constructed dwellings. While most AmeriSpeak households participate in surveys by web, non-internet households can participate in AmeriSpeak surveys by telephone. Households without conventional internet access but having web access via smartphones are allowed to participate in AmeriSpeak surveys by web. AmeriSpeak panelists participate in NORC studies or studies conducted by NORC on behalf of governmental agencies, academic researchers, and media and commercial organizations.

## PUBLIC OPINION POLLS

For more information, email AmeriSpeak-BD@norc.org or visit AmeriSpeak.norc.org.
NORC at the University of Chicago conducts research and analysis that decision-makers trust. As a nonpartisan research organization and a pioneer in measuring and understanding the world, we have studied almost every aspect of the human experience and every major news event for more than eight decades. Today, we partner with government, corporate, and nonprofit clients around the world to provide the objectivity and expertise necessary to inform the critical decisions facing society. Headquartered in downtown Chicago, NORC works in over 40 countries around the world, with additional offices on the University of Chicago campus, the Washington D.C. metro area, Atlanta, Boston, Silicon Valley and San Francisco. Please visit www.norc.org for more information.

## A Note about Reading the Report and Data Supplement

The percentage of respondents has been included for each item. Percentages may not always add up to $100 \%$ because of computer rounding or the acceptance of multiple responses.

